

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**AUG 29 2004**

**74 Adelaide Road, Bramhall, Cheshire SK7 1LU, UK**

**Tel: (+44) 161-440 9269**

**Fax: (+44) 161-440 8548**

Mr Charles C Chow, Patent Examiner  
(Technology Center 2600, Art Unit 2685)  
United States Patent & Trademark Office  
Washington DC 20231

August 29<sup>th</sup> 2004

Dear Mr Chow,

**Patent Application No 09/765,308 (filed 01/22/2001)**  
**Title: Multi-Purpose Mobile Cordless Phone System**

Thankyou for your communication dated July 13<sup>th</sup> 2004 with the attached Office Action Summary Sheet of June 16<sup>th</sup> 2004.

I have responded by cancelling the previous Claims 22-25 and filing new Claims 26-32.

The relationship of the new Claims to the cancelled Claims is as follows:

- Claim 26 combines Claim 21 and cancelled Claim 23
- Claim 27 is a new dependent Claim
- Claim 28 combines Claim 21 and cancelled Claim 24
- Claim 29 is a new dependent Claim
- Claim 30 is based on Claim 21 and cancelled Claim 22 (latter is modified)
- Claim 31 is a new independent Claim
- Claim 32 is a new dependent Claim

I have retained Claim 21 and would respectively request you reconsider the Examiner's Rejection of this Claim, based on the following grounds:

- Chapman et al (Chapman) describes a system which includes "dual purpose cellular/cordless handsets" suitable for direct radio communication both with a cellular network or a cordless (fixed) network. In contrast, Claim 21 refers to "a cordless phone which may communicate with one or more mobile transponder units having the same cellular phone number". As described by Chapman, it is a dual purpose handset which can sit in "cradle 16", namely, not a "mobile transponder" as claimed by me.

- Examiner's rejection of Claim 21 does not include objection to the following phrase within the Claim: "or via a direct link when the active mobile transponder unit contains a suitable fixed network interface". Chapman's reference to "call divert 14" is associated with the fixed "Customer Base Station", namely, not a "mobile transponder" as claimed by me.

You will note that the new independent Claims 26 and 28, respectively, reflect your proposals regarding "Claims objection" under section 3. of the Office Action Summary.

Referring to the new dependent Claim 27, this is based on the Description provided on page 10 (paragraph 3) of the Patent Application.

Referring to the new dependent Claim 29, this is based on the Description provided on page 14 (paragraph 4) of the Patent Application.

Referring to the new independent Claim 30, this is based on the battery re-charging arrangement shown in Figs 3, 4, 10 & 17 of the Patent Application, namely, simultaneous recharging of "a mobile transponder unit and a cordless phone" as claimed by me. On the other hand, Chapman describes the simultaneous recharging of multiple "dual purpose cellular/cordless" handsets.

Referring to the new independent Claim 31, this has been drafted to overcome Examiner's Objections to the previously cancelled independent Claim 1. It is submitted for your consideration taking account of the following points:

- Unlike cancelled Claim 1 (and current Claim 21), it is written for a cordless phone have a single "dedicated" mobile transponder unit as described in the earlier parts of the Patent Application (prior to page 14, paragraph 3).
- Referring to your reference to Chapman, the latter describes a system involving multiple "dual purpose" handsets, namely, not "a cordless phone and a dedicated mobile transponder unit" as claimed by me.
- Referring to your reference to Gerszberg et al (Gerszberg), the latter describes a system involving a fixed transponder-type unit due to the inclusion of phone 32 connected via cable 31, namely the Gerszberg transponder-type unit is not a "mobile" and "compact portable" device as claimed by me.
- Referring to your reference to Evans et al (Evans), Evans describes a system involving multiple cordless phones and base stations, the latter being connected to fixed network landlines (there is no mention of cellular communication by Evans). In other words, Evans does not describe "a cordless phone and a dedicated mobile transponder unit" as claimed by me.
- Referring to your reference to Sakamoto et al (Sakamoto), Sakamoto describes a system involving a mobile repeater-type unit which may or may not be physically connected to a landline. Again, Sakamoto does not describe a "mobile transponder" as claimed by me.

Referring to new dependent Claim 32, this is based on the Description provided on page 11 (paragraph 3) of the Patent Application.

If you have any queries or require any additional information, then please do not hesitate to make contact. Otherwise, I look forward to receiving your comments in due course.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'P Jarrett', with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.

Phillip Jarrett

AUG 29 2004

PTO/SB/Z1 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09 / 765,308	
	Filing Date	01 / 22 / 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Phillip Jarrett	
	Art Unit	2685	
	Examiner Name	Charles C Chow	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	10	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): - refer remarks
Remarks - Verified Statement, dated Aug 29, 2004 - Letter to Examiner, dated Aug 29, 2004 (3 pages) - Claims (pages 21-25)		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm or Individual name	Phillip Jarrett	
Signature	P Jarrett	
Date	August 29th, 2004	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO as deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.		
Typed or printed name	Phillip Jarrett	
Signature	P Jarrett	Date Aug 29, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**AUG 29 2004**

**VERIFIED STATEMENT**

**Patent Application No 09/765,308 (filed 01/22/2001)**  
**Title: Multi-Purpose Mobile Cordless Phone System**

**Referenced Documents:**

Letter to Patent Examiner of August 29<sup>th</sup> 2004 (comprising 3 pages)  
Cancelled Claims 1-20 and 22-25  
Re-submitted Claim 21  
New Claims 26-32

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Signed by PHILLIP JARRETT:



DATE: August 29<sup>th</sup> 2004

BRITISH CITIZEN resident at

74 ADELAIDE ROAD, BRAMHALL, CHESHIRE, SK7 1LU, UNITED KINGDOM